IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 9759 of 1995

Between:

Chirala Ammi Reddy S/o Adi Reddy
R/o Tapeswaram (V), Mandapeta (M),
East Godavari Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. East Godavari District Coop. Marketing Society Ltd. rep. by business Manager, Kakinada.
2. M. Veera Raju, Business Manager East Godavari Dist. Coop. Marketing Society Ltd. Kakinada.
3. Karri Basivi Reddy S/o Venkata Reddy
   R/o Tapeswaram (V0, Mandapeta (M), E.G. Dist.
4. The Registrar of Cooperative Societies,
   Grihakalpa Buildings, M.J. Road, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the inaction of the Registrar of Cooperative Societies, the 4th respondent herein in according approval to the lease of the Tapeswaram Cooperative Rice Mill, granted to Sri k. Basivi Reddy, the 3rd respondent herein for a sum of Rs.85,000/- without considering the offer made by the petitioner by letter dt: 27-9-1994 for a sum of Rs.1,59,000/- in spite of the specific directions of this Hon'ble Court by its Judgment in W.P.No.19550/1994 dt: 27-3-1995 and also his representations as arbitrary, illegal, unjust, mala fide, voilative of the Fundamental Rights guaranteed to him under Art.14 & 21 of the Constitution of India and also voilative of the Principles of Natural Justice and for issuance of a consequential direction to the respondents to accept the offer made by the petitioner by his letter. dt: 27-9-1994 and grant the leasehold rights of the said rice mill to him, or in the alternative, to conduct a fresh auction for the grant of leasehold rights of the said rice mill.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.V.MOHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR D.V. BHADRAM

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2 and 3: MR N.SIVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: GP FOR COOPERATION

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

It is stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the cause in this writ petition does not survive requiring any adjudication.

The writ petition shall accordingly stand dismissed as infructuous, without any order as to costs.

_____

B. SUDERSHAN REDDY, J

Date: 02-12-2004

Vs/pv

To

1. The Business Manager,
East Godavari District Coop. Marketing Society Ltd., Kakinada.
2. The Registrar of Cooperative Societies,
Grihakalpa Buildings, M.J. Road, Hyderabad.

3. 2CCs to the G.P. for Co.operation, High Courtr Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).

4. 2CD copies.